

ORDER SHEET**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-**

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J)

The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No – MA- 106 of 2018 (OA-322 of 2018).Kallol Guha. Vs The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p>02</p> <hr/> <p>06/07/2018</p>	<p>For the Applicant : Mr. Goutam Pathak Banerjee, Advocate.</p> <p>For the State Respondents: Mrs. Ruma Sarkar, Mr. Abhijit Datta, Departmental Representatives</p> <p><i>The instant MA has been filed praying for extension of interim order dated 05.06.18 wherein disciplinary proceeding was directed to be kept in abeyance till the next date of hearing and the date was fixed on 12.06.2018. However, on the next date i.e. 12.06.18 the court was sitting single bench, no extension order can be passed as per the Hon'ble High Court order. Therefore, the said order cannot be prayed for extension and in the meantime on 14.06.18 the disciplinary authority has passed the final order though the case is pending before this Tribunal. The departmental representative has further submitted that they would not take any step with regard to the implementation of the disciplinary proceeding order dated 14.06.18 and has prayed that the MA may be disposed of accordingly.</i></p> <p><i>Heard the parties. Perused the records. In view of the submissions made by both the parties</i></p>	

ORDER SHEET

Kallol Guha .

Form No.

Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Others.**Case No. **MA- 106 of 2018 (OA-322 of 2018).**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
GM	<p><i>since the respondents are given undertaking not to implement the disciplinary order, we are not passing any stay order to that effect. However, interim order dated 05.06.18 is extended till the next date of hearing.</i></p> <p><i>Further, the counsel for the applicant has submitted that he has not formally challenged the order of the disciplinary authority. He wants to file one supplementary affidavit and prays for grant of leave. Leave is granted. Accordingly, MA is disposed of.</i></p> <p><i>Urgent plain copy to both the parties.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(J)</p>	